BUSINESS MEETING

BEFORE THE

CALIFORNIA ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION

AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

In the Matter of:)
Business Meeting)
)

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

HEARING ROOM A

1516 NINTH STREET

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 30, 2003 10:04 a.m.

Reported by: Peter Petty Contract No. 150-01-006

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT

William J. Keese, Chairperson

James D. Boyd

John L. Geesman

Arthur H. Rosenfeld

STAFF PRESENT

Bill Chamberlain, Chief Counsel

Bob Therkelson, Executive Director

Roberta E. Mendonca, Public Advisor

Betty McCann, Secretariat

Rasa Keanini

Mike Kane

Chris Kavalec

James Reede

Thom Kelly

ALSO PRESENT

Steven Kelly, ICP

iii

INDEX

		Page
Prod	ceedings	1
Iter	ns	
1	Consent Calendar	1
2	Renewable Energy Program	2
3	UC Davis	3
4	California Air Resource Board	7
12	Modesto Irrigation District	9
5	Draft Energy Action Plan	10
6	Minutes	20
7	Committee Oversight	21
8	Public Advisor's Report	22
Adjo	ournment	23
Cert	tificate of Reporter	24

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	10:04 a.m.
3	CHAIRMAN KEESE: I call this business of
4	the Energy Commission to order. Commissioner
5	Boyd, will you lead in the Pledge.
6	(Thereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was
7	recited by all.)
8	CHAIRMAN KEESE: Thank you, everyone.
9	Commissioner Pernell will not be joining us today.
10	Consent calendar, do I have a motion?
11	COMMISSIONER ROSENFELD: I so move.
12	CHAIRMAN KEESE: Motion, Commissioner
13	Rosenfeld.
14	COMMISSIONER BOYD: Second, Boyd. All
15	in favor?
16	(Ayes.)
17	CHAIRMAN KEESE: Opposed. Adopted four
18	to nothing.
19	Item 2, Renewable Energy Program
20	possible approval of Renewable Committee revisions
21	to the guide book for the Renewable Energy
22	Program.
23	MS. KEANINI: Good morning,
24	Commissioners. The item that we bring before you
25	today is approval of substantive changes to the

- 1 customer credits for account guidelines to allow
- 2 for payment for 2002 and early 2003 activity.
- 3 Payment for activity during this time
- 4 period was proposed and adopted in the customer
- 5 credit report at the April 2nd, business meeting.
- 6 Today we ask you to approve the Renewable
- 7 Committee's recommendation to adopt the proposed
- 8 changes to the customer credits of the account
- 9 guidelines.
- 10 I would be happy to answer any questions
- 11 that you might have regarding this.
- 12 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Thank you. Do we have
- any questions here?
- 14 COMMISSIONER GEESMAN: No, these are
- 15 conforming changes to reflect the changes that
- were in the report that we earlier approved.
- 17 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Okay.
- 18 COMMISSIONER GEESMAN: I would move the
- 19 recommendation.
- 20 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Motion, Commissioner
- 21 Geesman.
- 22 COMMISSIONER BOYD: Second.
- 23 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Second, Commissioner
- 24 Boyd. Do we have any public comment on this
- 25 issue? Mr. Kelly.

1	MR. STEVEN KELLY: Steven Kelly with the
2	Independent Energy Producers. And I also support
3	this action by the Committee. I also want to
4	applaud the principle that we were making changes
5	prospectively to inform the market place how
6	you're going to be paying in the future and
7	handling of that matter, which I think has come up
8	in some of the previous workshops.
9	So I applaud the way this is being
10	handled and support this wholeheartedly.
11	CHAIRMAN KEESE: Thank you.
12	COMMISSIONER GEESMAN: Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN KEESE: Any other comments?
14	None up here? All in favor?
15	(Ayes.)
16	CHAIRMAN KEESE: Opposed? Adopted four
17	to nothing. Thank you. It was not that easy to
18	get to where we are on that issue.
19	Item 3, University of California Davis
20	possible approval of Contract 5000029, Amendment 1
21	for \$207,882 to extend the term of the contract to
22	December 31st, 2003 for the Wind Energy
23	Consortium.
24	MR. KANE: Good morning. In an effort
25	to foster additional development of more energy

1	CHAIRMAN	KEESE:	For	the	record,	name.
---	----------	--------	-----	-----	---------	-------

- 2 MR. KANE: Excuse me?
- 3 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Your name for the
- 4 record.
- 5 MR. KANE: Mike Kane. And in order to
- 6 foster development of wind energy in the State of
- 7 California, the Commission created with California
- 8 Wind Energy collaborative or the CWEC, which is
- 9 managed by the University of California Davis.
- 10 The mission statement of CWEC is to
- 11 support the development safe, reliable and
- 12 environmentally sound at affordable wind electric
- 13 generation capacity with the State of California.
- 14 In support of this effort the CWEC manages focused
- 15 statewide programs and signed up with research
- 16 technology development employment and technical
- 17 training.
- These efforts are conducted in close
- 19 cooperation with industries, state and federal
- 20 agencies, and other institutions to maximize the
- 21 benefits of wind energy in California. This
- 22 amendment proposes to increase the funding by
- \$207,882 and to extend the term of the contract to
- December of 2003.
- The additional time and money are

1	required to maintain continuity of the wind
2	collaborative efforts until a plan follow on
3	contract is in place in 2004. During this period,
4	the wind collaborative will manage an expanded
5	workload, including a renewable portfolio standard
6	integration study, and coordinates with the Cal

8 Any questions?

on landowner issues.

ISO and California PUC.

7

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

9 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Commissioner Geesman? COMMISSIONER GEESMAN: Unfortunately, my 10 schedule has been such that I've missed a couple 11 12 of the R&D committee meetings recently, including the one that took this out. While I'm supportive 13 14 of the contract, and certainly supportive of the collaborative effort, I would like in the future 15 16 to explore with the collaborative better focusing

We are expecting a very significant expansion in wind capacity in the state under the RPS. And anticipating that of necessity a fair amount of that will also have to be the repowering of existing sites. And I'm concerned that in the equation we need to give appropriate attention to the landowner variable.

I think we've now accumulated enough

```
1 experience at some of the sites that have been
```

- 2 operating over the course of the last 20 years to
- 3 have a pretty good profile on landowner issues.
- And I think in order to pave the way to a smooth
- 5 expansion of capacity in the future, the
- 6 collaborative needs to take up a closer
- 7 identification of landowner issues and how best to
- 8 resolve them.
- 9 MR. KANE: Well, that is -- we are
- 10 working on the second follow on contract now. And
- that is something I will talk to them and try to
- 12 incorporate into it.
- 13 COMMISSIONER GEESMAN: Okay. I would
- move the item, Mr. Chairman.
- 15 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Okay. Commissioner
- 16 Geesman.
- 17 COMMISSIONER ROSENFELD: Second.
- 18 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Second, Commissioner
- 19 Rosenfeld. Any public comment? All in favor?
- 20 (Ayes.)
- 21 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Opposed? Adopted four
- to nothing.
- MR. KANE: Okay. Thank you.
- 24 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Thank you. We'll take
- 25 up item 12 immediately after item four, just in

1 case anybody's interested. Item four, California

- 2 Air Resource Board, possible approval of Contract
- 3 602008 for \$40,000 to conduct a joint study to
- 4 identify the effects of higher fuel economy and
- 5 California driving patterns. Note that this ERPA
- funded.
- 7 Good morning.
- 8 MR. KAVALEC: Good morning. I'm Chris
- 9 Kavalec from the transportation division. This
- 10 contract, \$40,000, interagency agreement with the
- 11 Air Resources Board. The contract is going to
- 12 examine the impact of driving, or the impact of
- 13 fuel efficiency on driving and improved fuel
- 14 efficiency on driving in California.
- This is important for not only our own
- 16 work, but analysis, upcoming analysis, for the
- 17 Pauley Bill. It's been approved by the Committee.
- And there was one minor mistake. I'm not sure if
- 19 it's there on the latest agenda. But it said PIER
- 20 funded. It's actually ERPA funded.
- 21 And I'll be happy to take any questions
- 22 you have.
- 23 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Any questions here? Do
- I have a motion?
- 25 COMMISSIONER BOYD: I move.

1	CHAIRMAN KEESE: Motion, Commissioner
2	Boyd.
3	COMMISSIONER ROSENFELD: Second.
4	CHAIRMAN KEESE: Second, Commissioner
5	Rosenfeld. Public comment? All in favor?
6	(Ayes.)
7	CHAIRMAN KEESE: Opposed? Adopted four
8	to nothing. Thank you.
9	Item 12. Mr. Chamberlain, this is an
10	added item to the budget. Do we have to vote to
11	add it to the agenda?
12	MR. CHAMBERLAIN: Yes. The Commission
13	needs to make a finding that there exists a need
14	to take the item up immediately, and that the need
15	for the action came to the attention of the
16	Commission, subsequent to the original agenda
17	being posted.
18	COMMISSIONER GEESMAN: I would so move,
19	Mr. Chairman.
20	MR. ROSENFELD: I second.
21	CHAIRMAN KEESE: Motion, Commissioner
22	Geesman, and second Commissioner Rosenfeld. All

23 in favor?

24 (Ayes.)

25 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Adopted four to nothing

1	then.	This	item	is	now	on	the	agenda	. W	e '	Ι.	Ι.	tal	۲е
---	-------	------	------	----	-----	----	-----	--------	-----	------------	----	----	-----	----

- 2 up item 12, Modesto Irrigation District Electric
- 3 Generation Station Project 03SPPE1, possible
- 4 approval of a citing committee for the Modesto
- 5 Irrigation District Electric General Station
- 6 Project Small Power Plant Exemption application.
- 7 If that becomes an acronym it will break
- 8 the computer. James, Mr. Reede.
- 9 MR. REEDE: Good morning, Chairman Keese
- 10 and Commissioners. My name is Dr. James Reede,
- and I'm the energy facility citing project manager
- 12 assigned to the Modesto Irrigation District
- 13 Electric Generation Station Small Power Plant
- 14 Exemption.
- On April 21st, MID filed a small power
- 16 plant exemption seeking an exemption from the
- 17 California Energy Commission licensing
- 18 requirements. They proposed to construct and
- 19 operate a 95 megawatt simple cycle generation
- 20 plant in the City of Ripon, California located in
- 21 San Joaquin County.
- 22 We are asking that a citing committee be
- 23 established because there's no requirement for
- 24 data adequacy and we are under the time
- 25 constraints called out in our rules and

1	The second of the second of the second		1 2 5	-1
1	regulations	OI	135	aavs.

- 2 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Thank you. As you have
- 3 stated, there is no data adequacy request
- 4 requirement on this. There is a requirement that
- 5 a hearing starts within 120 days. There is a
- 6 target date of 135 days from the date of
- 7 application, which was April 21st.
- 8 So it is necessary that we get acting on
- 9 this quite rapidly. I would seek a motion to
- 10 Commissioner Boyd on this and Commissioner Pernell
- 11 the second. Do I have such a motion?
- 12 COMMISSIONER GEESMAN: So moved.
- 13 COMMISSIONER ROSENFELD: Second.
- 14 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Motion, Commissioner
- 15 Geesman. Second, Commissioner Rosenfeld. All in
- 16 favor?
- 17 (Ayes.)
- 18 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Opposed? Adopted four
- 19 to nothing. Well, Robert was not here. We could
- 20 have made a switch here.
- 21 COMMISSIONER BOYD: No, I graciously
- 22 accepted the invitation. I love the valley.
- 23 CHAIRMAN KEESE: And we'll take up item
- 5, Draft Energy Action Plan. The Energy
- 25 Commission will consider the April 16th, 2003

- 1 Draft Energy Action Plan.
- 2 MR. THOM KELLY: You have the
- 3 opportunity -- Thom Kelly, the strategic
- 4 information grouper, whatever we call ourselves.
- 5 We have a resolution for you today approving the
- 6 Energy Action Plan in sequential conjunction with
- 7 the Power Authority and the PUC last.
- 8 I'm about to read into the record all
- 9 ten pages of the Action Plan. That gives you some
- 10 context for when I don't. You really appreciate
- 11 me more. The resolution states: Be it resolved
- 12 by the Energy Commission that by approving the
- 13 Action Plan it will, along with the Power
- 14 Authority and the PC, work cooperatively, discuss
- 15 critical energy issues jointly, share information,
- and it's your broad basis for decision making to
- 17 effect six common goals.
- 18 First is optimize energy conservation
- 19 and resource efficiency. The second is accelerate
- 20 the state's goal for renewable generation. This
- 21 is ensure reliable affordable electricity
- generation. Fourth is upgrade and expand the
- 23 transmission and distribution infrastructure.
- 24 Fifth is promote customer and utility
- owned generation, distributed generation. And

- 1 sixth is ensure reliable supply of reasonably
- 2 priced natural gas.
- 3 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Thank you, Mr. Kelly.
- 4 This is the culmination of a long journey by the
- 5 three agencies towards cooperation and
- 6 coordination of the activities. It actually began
- 7 a year ago and has been building to this point.
- 8 It could not have been accomplished by the Energy
- 9 Commission alone.
- 10 It took the Public Utilities Commission
- 11 and the Power Authority working with us to get to
- 12 where we are. We have to give a great deal of
- 13 credit to Chairman Peevy and President or Chairman
- 14 David Freeman. The Sunny McPeak of the Power
- 15 Authority was a dynamo in continuing to push
- forward on this issue and push us.
- 17 Commissioner Geesman took a very active
- 18 role. And I'll ask him to speak a little to that
- 19 later. Obviously, we got together and decided we
- 20 had to do this. Then the job of doing it fell to
- 21 Bob Therkelson, Laura Doll and Barbara Hale for
- 22 the different entities, and the lead craftsman was
- 23 Mr. Kelly.
- 24 We thank them all. I'd like to comment
- 25 briefly on the relationship to our Integrated

1 Energy Policy Report, our IPER. Basically we came

- 2 to the conclusion that we cannot wait until
- 3 November 1st, to start cooperating and
- 4 coordinating our different activities.
- 5 As President Peevy said, speaking of
- 6 David Freeman, only three members, David Freeman,
- 7 myself and he, we're too old to wait that long.
- 8 We've got to get started and we want action now.
- 9 We're already working together. We're cooperating
- 10 getting towards our renewable portfolio standard.
- 11 Our staff is working with the PUC. The
- 12 PUC staff is working with us. We took it upon
- ourselves here to suggest that we don't have to
- 14 wait until 2017. We adopted a target date of
- 15 2010. We're also working together very closely,
- and have been, for over a year now.
- 17 Commissioner Rosenfeld's endeavors and
- demand response, which are reaching culmination.
- 19 So we have activities that we're working together
- on. We've broadened the scope. We're approving
- 21 today. The word was approve. We're not adopting.
- This is a road map for our energy
- 23 agencies will cooperate in the future. If we're
- going to adopt, each of the entities will have a
- 25 hearing process, an open hearing process, which

1 testimony is taken and we take specific actions
2 agency by agency.

But it serves as the road map for this cooperation and coordination. So, once again, I thank everybody who's collaborated in getting us where we are. Commissioner Geesman.

COMMISSIONER GEESMAN: Well, I had a couple of things to say. I had some fairly sharp words at our joint meeting as it related to the transmission aspect of the plan. And I do continue to believe that this is an area that will require statutory change before the state is adequately addressing its transmission infrastructure needs.

But I think the approach that we've adopted in the plan represents a good faith effort, particularly on the part of the Public Utilities Commission, to better coordinate the planning function to identify which projects are needed and what methodology should be utilized in determining that need.

And hopefully that cooperation will better facilitate the eventual licensing of those facilities. I also think, as Chairman Keese touched on, and I said it at the joint meeting,

```
there are two elements that are fairly simply

stated, and to some extent lost in the verbiage,

that to me are significant beacons of change in
```

4 this plan.

One is the item that Chairman Keese mentioned, the acceleration of the renewable portfolio standard goals from 2017 to 2010. The other is the commitment to pursue a policy of declining for capital electricity consumption.

I think in both instances those are ordering principles, and pretty simple metrics to evaluate whether we're actually making progress on those goals or not. We've got a great deal of work to do in each of the two areas in determining what programs and what initiatives, and what money will be necessary to accomplish those objective.

I think if we faithfully apply them it will transform virtually everything that this Commission and the Public Utilities Commission do. And I'm pleased by the implicit commitment of the three agencies that we will provide the resources necessary to accomplish those objectives.

I think this is a great day in the energy policy development of the state, and I'm proud to have been a part of it.

1	CHAIRMAN KEESE: Thank you. And my
2	final comment that referring again to the
3	Integrated Energy Policy Report, I believe this
4	will bring in these other two agencies into our
5	process. And we hope by doing that to bring in
6	the other many relevant state agencies to work
7	with us towards the goal of delivering the IFER on
8	November 1st.
9	We have already seen that cooperation
10	expanding and we'll look forward to another
11	success by November 1st. Commissioner Boyd.
12	COMMISSIONER BOYD: I would like to take
13	this opportunity to commend you and Commissioner
14	Geesman for the many trips that you had to take
15	and the effort you put into this document. As you
16	indicated this process started more than a year
17	ago with the first public joint meeting of the
18	three agencies.
19	And I think it was pioneered, as you
20	indicated, by Commissioner Rosenfeld's work on the

And I think it was pioneered, as you indicated, by Commissioner Rosenfeld's work on the main management and all that that entails. I want to commend Bob Therkelson and Thom Kelly for the work that I know they did on this. Thom Kelly particular for putting up with my many, many e-mails on the subject, and always there to

1	respond
---	---------

_	respond.
2	As a long time student and practitioner
3	of public administration, it did bother me
4	somewhat that the three agencies had to go through
5	this process and develop a plan to be publicly
6	approved, or acted upon, because theoretically
7	government agencies should easily work together.
8	But as a long time practitioner I know
9	that's not the case. And as an observer and
10	participant in the energy issues in California for
11	the last four to five years, it became quite
12	obvious that a document like this was necessary
13	and is quite pioneering.
14	And so I would agree that this
15	represents a quantum leap forward in where we need
16	to go and what we need to do in the energy arena
17	in this state. And I've already observed orders

represents a quantum leap forward in where we need to go and what we need to do in the energy arena in this state. And I've already observed orders of magnitude increases in the cooperation between the agencies in the last many months as more and more effort was put into finalizing this plan and identifying the kind of things we've done.

So I think it's already had a positive effect on the working relationship. And finally, I would agree with you that while some people, for reasons that surprise me at seeing some conflict

1 between this and the Integrated Energy Planning		between	this	and	the	Integrated	Energy	Plannin
---	--	---------	------	-----	-----	------------	--------	---------

- 2 Report, I would agree as Chairman of that group
- 3 that there's absolutely no conflict.
- In fact, they supplement and compliment
- 5 each other quite effectively. And the fact that
- 6 the plan was being prepared has helped in the
- 7 cooperation we've received from other agencies,
- 8 and I look forward to even greater cooperation, I
- 9 think the citizens of this state will benefit a
- 10 lot from the work that everybody put into this
- 11 talk here and the fact that it exists.
- 12 And as we redesign some of our future
- and design the rest of it, I think the cooperation
- 14 between these agencies will be both needed and
- $\,$ 15 $\,$ will be outstanding in terms of what we can do in
- 16 working together. And I surely have met people of
- 17 the State of California that we have a very
- 18 positive and aggressive plan, comprehensive and
- 19 efficient energy plan for the state.
- 20 So I look forward to our future actions
- 21 together.
- 22 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Thank you.
- MR. ROSENFELD: Well, as long as we're
- 24 all showing how happy we are I just would like to
- 25 say that on behalf of my whole team we've been

1 working for a year and a half with BUC now, and

- 2 it's been a great pleasure. It's worked very
- 3 well.
- We are now talking to new Commissioner,
- 5 Susan Kennedy, who's taken over the efficiency,
- and we're beginning to work with her. And we hope
- 7 again to have all these teams well intermingled.
- 8 And we're very pleased with the way things are
- 9 going. So this document really seems to have some
- 10 activity behind it. Thank you.
- 11 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Thank you. Are there
- 12 any public comments? Mr. Kelly.
- MR. STEVEN KELLY: Steven Kelly with the
- 14 Independent Energy Producers. And we do want to
- 15 support your approval of this plan. And I'd have
- 16 to say in my 12 years, plus years, working in the
- 17 energy business this a unique circumstance where
- we see all of the major energy agencies in
- 19 California working together, sending common
- 20 signals to the market participants and so forth.
- 21 And we applaud you for that. And I want
- 22 to support and applaud the staff for all their
- work they've done on this. We do support what we
- 24 kind of call the highbred vision that you've laid
- 25 out here, which is one of vigorous competitive

1	MIIOTEDGTE	energy ar	ICI TETTEMECI	DOSTLIVE	regulation.

- 2 We think that is a positive vision that
- 3 you've laid out in a model that will work well for
- 4 California. And certainly we support the
- 5 aggressive action on the six action items that
- 6 you've laid out in this plan.
- 7 So we look forward to working with you
- 8 as well as the other state agencies on developing
- 9 this, implementing this, and bringing California
- 10 to a more sustainable energy practice here. Thank
- 11 you very much.
- 12 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Thank you. Any other
- public comment? Do I have a motion of approval?
- 14 COMMISSIONER GEESMAN: So moved,
- 15 Mr. Chairman.
- 16 COMMISSIONER ROSENFELD: Second.
- 17 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Motion, Geesman.
- 18 Second, Commissioner Rosenfeld. All in favor?
- 19 (Ayes.)
- 20 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Approved. Four to
- 21 nothing. Thank you. Minutes.
- 22 COMMISSIONER BOYD: Motion.
- 23 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Motion, Commissioner
- Boyd.
- 25 COMMISSIONER ROSENFELD: Second.

1 CHAI	RMAN KEESE: Sec	ond, Commissioner
--------	-----------------	-------------------

- 2 Rosenfeld. All in favor?
- 3 (Ayes.)
- 4 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Approved. Four to
- 5 nothing. Committee and oversight. Chief
- 6 counsel's report.
- 7 MR. CHAMBERLAIN: Mr. Chairman, I just
- 8 have one very brief item in closed session related
- 9 to a settlement process that we discussed with you
- 10 at the last meeting.
- 11 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Thank you. We'll do it
- 12 very brief.
- MR. CHAMBERLAIN: Yes. I think it's
- 14 probably brief enough that we can just do it in
- 15 the room back there.
- 16 CHAIRMAN KEESE: We'll do it here. The
- 17 Executive Director's Report.
- MR. THERKELSON: Good morning,
- 19 Commissioners. And thank you for your comments on
- 20 the Energy Action Plan. The next step to that is
- 21 well underway. The three agencies are working on
- 22 details of implementing a plan, not only the
- 23 specific bullets that are listed in there, but
- 24 achieving those overall goals that were mentioned
- 25 earlier that are stretch goals for the agencies to

- 1 achieve.
- 2 And we will have more details on that
- for you. We're also starting work next month on
- 4 our work plans for next fiscal year. And those
- 5 work plans will be crafted to make sure that we're
- 6 accomplishing objectives that are laid out in the
- 7 Energy Action Plan.
- 8 The last comment that I have is we have
- 9 our hearing before the senate budget sub committee
- 10 tomorrow, and right now we're not aware of any
- 11 strange and wonderful issues that should come up
- 12 at that hearing. Thank you.
- 13 CHAIRMAN KEESE: Thank you. Any
- 14 questions? Public Advisor's report.
- MS. MENDONCA: Good morning. And thank
- 16 you very much. I do have a brief report today. I
- was hoping that Ms. Bos was returning as I am
- announcing that today is in fact her last day.
- 19 And I'm not sure if she's retiring at the end of
- 20 the day or when her alarm goes off in the morning.
- 21 But at any rate, she is concluding her
- 22 second career at the Energy Commission. She was
- 23 here in the '90s, and then left to go to San
- 24 Francisco at the Department of Industrial
- 25 Relations. And that juncture I left the

Ţ	Department of Industrial Relations and came to the
2	Energy Commissions.
3	So we've always compared and enjoyed the
4	fact that we were once passing ships, but we both
5	landed here together in the same harbor. I want
6	to commend her for her excellent support. She
7	did the maximum for the public always. And I will
8	miss her. Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN KEESE: Thank you. And on
10	behalf of all of us, Grace. Any public comment?
11	None. This meeting is adjourned subject to our
12	brief meeting in Executive Session on a matter of
13	legal input.
14	(Thereupon, at 10:31 a.m., subsequent to
15	executive session, the meeting was
16	adjourned.)
17	00
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, PETER PETTY, an Electronic Reporter, do hereby certify that I am a disinterested person herein; that I recorded the foregoing California Energy Commission Business Meeting; that it was thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties to said meeting, nor in any way interested in outcome of said meeting.

IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set $$\operatorname{\textsc{my}}$$ hand this 7th day of May, 2003